

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,313	03/12/2004	Ronald Huner	11885-00033-US	8215
23416 73	590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY P O BOX 2207	BOVE LODGE & HU	DIXON, MERRICK L		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$( \ \ )( \ \ )$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.6
Office Action Summary		10/799,313	HUNER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Merrick Dixon	1774	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status				
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage
	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-2-04;3-12-04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa		152)

Art Unit: 1774

15

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 7, of claim 1, improper Markush groups are listed. Applicants are requested to provide related corrections.

16

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

17

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al(6418973) alone.

The cited reference teaches the basic claimed invention including a fiber-reinforced ceramic material with fibers present in the form of woven fabric, short and long fibers-col 6, lines 24-42; col 8, lines 57-67; col 2, lines 57- col 3, line 49; col 4, lines 12-67. col 9, lines 15-60. in regards to the mass ratio of the fibers, it is submitted that it would have been within the art to vary the respective sizes of the reinforcing material, including the different fibers. Such a modification includes a mere change in size of a component which is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art- In re

Art Unit: 1774

Rose, 105 USPQ 237(CCPA 1955). Concerning claims 3-5, the cited reference teaches the claimed limitations in col 5, lines 55-62; see entire reference. Concerning claim 2. the cited reference teaches the claimed limitation in col 5, lines 55-60. Concerning claim 7, the cited reference teaches the claimed limitations in col 13, lines 1-17, concerning claim 8, the cited reference teaches the claimed matrix in col 13, lines 15-17. concerning claim 9, the cited reference teaches the claimed limitation in col 11, lines 49-67.

18

Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recited improper Markush groups.

19

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaya et al(5459114) is cited of interest to show the state of the art.

20

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The Application/Control Number: 10/799,313

Art Unit: 1774

Page 4

faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096

O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's

personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless

otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 703-872-9306.

Information about the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Information Retrieval system (Private PAIR).

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** 

or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic

Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner

Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern

time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

**Primary Examiner** 

**Group 1700**